

Message

From: Lee, Janice [Lee.JaniceS@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/26/2016 8:37:23 PM
To: Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]; Cote, Ila [Cote.Ila@epa.gov]; Bussard, David [Bussard.David@epa.gov]
CC: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: returning your call, and a question
Attachments: Formaldehyde_draft Appendices_ERC_May2016.docx; Formaldehyde_draft Tox Review_ERC_May2016.docx

Yes, I do have the formaldehyde draft. and have attached it here.

Janice

From: Glenn, Barbara
Sent: Friday, August 26, 2016 4:31 PM
To: Cote, Ila <Cote.Ila@epa.gov>; Bussard, David <Bussard.David@epa.gov>
Cc: Lee, Janice <Lee.JaniceS@epa.gov>; Kraft, Andrew <Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: returning your call, and a question

Hi Ila,
I believe that Janice has the formaldehyde draft and might be able to send it to you. If not, let me know and I'll send it on Monday.
-Barbara

From: Cote, Ila
Sent: Friday, August 26, 2016 4:22 PM
To: Bussard, David <Bussard.David@epa.gov>
Cc: Lee, Janice <Lee.JaniceS@epa.gov>; Glenn, Barbara <Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov>; Kraft, Andrew <Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: returning your call, and a question

All of these numbers work but the 202 number is the best chance. Im switch over to working at home most of the time but still go into the office (the other two numbers). Its unpredictable where Ill be, so cell is the best.

Im no longer the project lead, just the task lead. In standard fashion its hard to get someone to step in. Id help but Im swamped right now with iAs and end of the year clearance.

On systematic review we will coordinate with Barbara and Andrew. I will get the formaldehyde assessment from them and send them the systematic review section to them from iAs (we are finalizing now). We are kind of a day late and dollar short but we really need to coordinate across iAs and formaldehyde.

From: Bussard, David
Sent: Friday, August 26, 2016 10:49 AM
To: Cote, Ila <Cote.Ila@epa.gov>
Subject: returning your call, and a question

First, tried call call you on Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) Is there a better number to use? I have two 3 numbers as well... Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
and ... Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Second, Chris Saint has you down as “Project Lead” for Project 8 of HHRA. Is that valid? (I think Cinci has strongly resisted filling that role.)

Third, re systematic review:

- We circulated to the “ERC” the Formaldehyde Assessment, which contains descriptions of the systematic review approach taken. But, maybe you don’t have that? If you don’t have it, Barbara Glenn or Andrew Kraft can send it to you, and tell you which sections to focus upon.
- I assume the “Systematic Review” team already have it, but I can check with Barbara and Andrew.
- I don’t know that I, or the formaldehyde team or the systematic review team, have comparable portions from the arsenic team. CAN YOU SEND THOSE?
- Re harmonizing, I don’t see that it makes sense for us to revise formaldehyde at this point. If there is a clear decision from NCEA as a whole, we would then seek guidance as to whether or how to revise it.
- In discussions amongst the Div Dirs, we have conceded that perhaps using the descriptors for the overall synthesis that are in the Cancer Guidelines has some advantages to creating new terms, although I still think there are limitations with those descriptors. I also think Vince’s newest approach may be to in the Handbook only write it up for Cancer, but that does not give us much guidance for noncancer in the meantime.

David A Bussard
Director, Washington Division
National Center for Environmental Assessment, NCEA
ORD, USEPA